Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

REDWOOD Soil & Water District FY05 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

The local resource concerns in Redwood Co. are as follows: Erosion Control, Gully Control, Water Resource Protection and Waste water/runoff/CNMP.

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

The LWG decided that the following watersheds should receive priority in Redwood County; Redwood River WS, Upper Minnesota WS, Lone Tree WS, Plum Creek WS and the Pell Creek WS. The resource concerns relate to scoring sheet factors A1, A2, B1 and B2.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	high	x1 outside of priority WS x2 within priority WS
A2 Gully Control	high	x1 outside of priority WS x3 within priority WS
B1 Water Resource	high	x1 outside of priority WS x2 within priority WS
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	low	x1
C Habitat Improvement	low	x1
D Air Quality	low	x1
E Impaired Water	low	x1
F Distance	low	x1
G Grazing System	low	x1
H Forest Mgmt.		

Additional Local*

- If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.
- The LWG wanted to give additional priority points to factor A-1 Sheet and Rill erosion, A-2 Gully erosion according to the amount of soil saved by implementing conservation practices. The break down for additional points for Factor 1 is as follows:

A-1 Sheet Rill	4-6 tons saved	х3
A-I Sheet Rill	≥7 tons saved	х4
A-2 Gully Erosion	0-20 tons saved	x2
A-2 Gully Erosion	21-50 tons saved	х3
A-2 Gully Erosion	>51 tons saved	х4

	the 590 standard w points will be as fol		nstalling a 784. The additional Factor I		
	B-1 with manu	re 590 (non 784)	x2		
4	Attach the scoring work	sheet as recommended fo	r the district.		
5	5. List any recommended Payment Document	d practices to be deleted	from the state Conservation Practice		
The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.					
This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 05 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.					
Chair, Local V	Vork Group		Date		

Additional Factor I points are also to be given under B-1 for applicants implementing